# **TEWKESBURY BOROUGH COUNCIL**

Report to:	Planning Committee		
Date of Meeting:	30 August 2018		
Subject:	Current Appeals and Appeal Decisions Update		
Report of:	Technical Planning Manager		
Corporate Lead:	Deputy Chief Executive		
Lead Member:	Lead Member for Built Environment		
Number of Appendices:	1		

## **Executive Summary:**

To inform Members of current planning and enforcement appeals and Ministry of Housing, Communities and Local Government (MHCLG) appeal decisions issued.

### **Recommendation:**

To CONSIDER the report.

#### **Reasons for Recommendation:**

To inform Members of recent appeal decisions.

Resource Implications: None
Legal Implications: None
Risk Management Implications: None
Performance Management Follow-up: None
Environmental Implications: None

### 1.0 INTRODUCTION/BACKGROUND

1.1 At each Planning Committee meeting, Members are informed of current planning and enforcement appeals and Ministry of Housing, Communities and Local Government (MHCLG) appeal decisions that have recently been issued.

### 2.0 APPEAL DECISIONS

**2.1** The following decisions have been issued by the MHCLG:

Application No	17/00693/FUL					
Location	The Guildhouse Stanton Tewkesbury WR11 9SN					
Development	Erection of two storey lodge building to provide either additional capacity for residential users of The Guildhouse or accommodation for staff of The Guildhouse, plus single storey element comprising an office					
Officer recommendation	Refuse					
Decision Type	Delegated					
DCLG Decision	Dismiss					
Reason	The Inspector considered that the main issues were whether the proposal resulted in the creation of a new dwelling; the impact of the proposals on Stanton Guildhouse, a grade II listed building and the impact on the AONB.  The Inspector concluded that the proposal was not a new dwelling on the basis that whilst it contained all the facilities necessary for its use as such, the Appellant had not proposed a new dwelling, but a use ancillary to the main use of the site, as guest/staff accommodation.  In respect of the listed building the Inspector agreed with the Council that the development, due to its scale and siting would undermine and detract from the appreciation of Stanton Guildhouse and dilute the experience of its approach and surroundings. He concluded that this would therefore fail to preserve its setting and cause harm to its significance. The Inspector also recognised there would be harm to the AONB.  Whilst the Inspector recognised that there would be some benefits arising from the proposal, for the above reasons, the appeal was dismissed.					
Date	11.07.2018					

Application No	17/00478/FUL					
Location	Vine Tree Farm The Wharf Coombe Hill Gloucester					
	GL19 4AS					
Development	Proposed erection of replacement three-storey dwelling					
	with attached orangery, additional basement level and					
	basement level garaging. Associated re-grading, land and					
	hard and soft landscaping and new access/driveway -					
	Revised scheme further to allowed appeal ref:					
	15/01007/FUL & withdrawn application ref:					
	16/00410/FUL.					
Officer recommendation						
Decision Type	Committee					
DCLG Decision	Allow					
Reason	The Inspector clarified that the main issue was the impact					
	of the revised scheme on the character and appearance					
	of the area with regard to the Landscape Protection Zone					
	(LPZ).					
	The Inspector gave the extant permission substantial					
	weight in determining the Appeal. The Inspector					
	concluded that once the regrading works have been					
	completed and the site landscaped, that the development					
	would, having regard to the fallback position, not result in					
	material harm to the character and appearance of the					
	area with respect to the LPZ.					
	The Inspector noted that the footings to the unauthorised					
	part of the proposed development had already been					
	carried out and that this constituted 'intentional					
	unauthorised development' which, in light of a Written					
	Ministerial Statement in 2015, weighed against the					
	development.					
	New continuous the least of the district of th					
	Nevertheless, the Inspector did not consider that this					
	carried such significant weight that the appeal ought to be dismissed given the acceptability of the scheme in all					
	other respects.					
	οιποι τουρθοίο.					
Date	13.07.2018					

### 3.0 ENFORCEMENT APPEAL DECISIONS

- **3.1** None
- 4.0 OTHER OPTIONS CONSIDERED
- **4.1** None
- 5.0 CONSULTATION
- **5.1** None
- 6.0 RELEVANT COUNCIL POLICIES/STRATEGIES
- **6.1** None

7.0	RELEVANT GOVERNMENT POLICIES
7.1	None
8.0	RESOURCE IMPLICATIONS (Human/Property)
8.1	None
9.0	SUSTAINABILITY IMPLICATIONS (Social/Community Safety/Cultural/ Economic/Environment)
9.1	None
10.0	IMPACT UPON (Value For Money/Equalities/E-Government/Human Rights/Health And Safety)
10.1	None

11.0 RELATED DECISIONS AND ANY OTHER RELEVANT FACTS

**11.1** None

**Background Papers**: None

Contact Officer: Appeals Administrator

Appendices: Appendix 1: List of Appeals received

# Appendix 1

List of Appeals Received									
Reference	Address	Description	Date Appeal Lodged	Appeal Procedure	Appeal Officer	Statement Due			
	Margarets Cottage Sandhurst Lane Sandhurst Gloucester Gloucestershire GL2 9NP	Erection of a single dwelling and associated works.	03/08/2018	W	DEK	07/09/2018			

# **Process Type**

- **FAS** indicates FastTrack Household Appeal Service
- indicates Householder Appeal indicates Written Reps indicates Informal Hearing indicates Public Inquiry HH
- W
- Н